

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/664,567	09/16/2003	William R. Wells	IGTECH.0116P	4585	
22434	7590 08/02/2006		EXAMINER		
BEYER WI	EAVER & THOMAS, LL	P	COBURN, CORBETT B		
P.O. BOX 70 OAKLAND.	0250 CA 94612-0250		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, (11 ) 1012 1211		3714		
			DATE MAILED: 08/02/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del>.</del>			
	Office Action Commence	10/664,567	WELLS, WILLIAM R.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Corbett B. Coburn	3714				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DONS on Soft STATE AND A CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DONS OF THE MAILING DONS OF THE MAILING DONS OF THE MAILING DONS OF THE MAILING	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Ju</u>	ılv 2006					
		action is non-final.					
· ·	Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is				
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Dispositi	on of Claims	,, ,,					
	Claim(s) 20-37 is/are pending in the application	า					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	With total consideration.					
	Claim(s) <u>20-37</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement					
		dication requirement.					
	on Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 September 2003</u> is/a	ıre: a)∏ accepted or b)⊠ objec	ted to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	,	-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		d in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau	, ,,					
* 5	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachmen	• •						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		atent Application (PTO-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	,				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the first, second and third independent networks, the host communications device adapted to process data to and from each of said first and second independent networks along a single communications path including gaming machines within said gaming machine network must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,567

Art Unit: 3714

2. The drawings are objected to because Fig 3 consists of boxes with numbers and lines. Applicant should label the boxes in order to make the figure usable. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the

Page 3

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 20-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant

Application/Control Number: 10/664,567 Page 4

Art Unit: 3714

art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. As Examiner interprets the new clams, Applicant is claiming a ring network in which other network servers are nodes on the ring. This is not described in the specification. The specification describes the gateway (24) as being connected to the other networks, but does not indicate that the gaming machines (24) are connected to the independent networks except through gateway (24). (Paragraphs 0057-8.) Thus the claimed subject matter is not described in the specification. Furthermore, paragraph 0037 states that communication with these independent networks is carried out through communication link (41). As Figure 2 clearly shows, communication link (41) does not make up a part of the ring topology.

- 5. Claims 20-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 20 contains the negative limitation, "without said communications being processed through any main processing unit of said gaming machine..." This is not disclosed in the specification.
- 6. Since Applicant has not described the claimed invention in the specification, Examiner will interpret the claims as best understood.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/664,567 Page 5

Art Unit: 3714

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claims 20-23, 27 & 30-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Norman, Jr. (US Patent Number 5,742,605).

Claims 20-23, 27 & 30-34: Norman teaches the claimed network topology including at least three independent networks (i.e., 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> & 3<sup>rd</sup>) and gaming machine network in communication therewith. The gaming machine network includes a host communication device adapted to process data to & from these independent networks along a single, closed loop, fiber optic, communication path that includes a plurality of machines. (Fig 3) Each machine has at least one communication interface (Ring Term) for processing the communication between the various network elements without being processed by the

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

main CPU of any machine. The Ring Term is a packet transmitter & packet receiver.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 24-26, 28, 29 & 34-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Norman as applied to claims 23, 27 or 33 in view of Acres (US Patent Number -6,315,125).
  Claims 24-26, 28, 29 & 34-37: Norman teaches the invention substantially as claimed, but fails to teach player tracking, progressive jackpots, game security, & backup power

Art Unit: 3714

supplies. Acres teaches all of these well known features of casino gaming. Acres teaches player tracking using a player tracking device (314) and a player tracking server. Player tracking is used to reward frequent players and to develop targeted advertising campaigns. Acres teaches a progressive jackpot with progressive server (370). Progressive jackpots are known to attract player by giving them the opportunity to get large awards. Acres teaches game security via a game security network. (Paragraph 395) Game security is required to get permission to operate a casino. Furthermore, it helps keep players from cheating. Acres also teaches back-up power supplies that preserve the state of the machines in the event of power failures. (Paragraph 226). This is also required in order to get a license. Games are required to maintain the state of the machine in order to resolve disputes between casino and player. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Norman in view of Acres to include player tracking, progressive jackpots, game security, & backup power supplies in order to get the benefits outlined above.

### Response to Arguments

10. Applicant did not make arguments.

#### Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 3714

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. This is an RCE of applicant's earlier Application No. 10/664,567. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,567

Art Unit: 3714

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Corbett B. Coburn whose telephone number is (571) 272-4447. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5:30, Monday-Friday, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on (571) 272-6788. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Corbett B. Coburn

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3714

CORBETT B. COBURN PRIMARY EXAMINER

BUSTO

Page 8